Rainfall Prediction Using Extreme Gradient Boosting
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**Abstract**. Rainfall greatly affects human life in various sectors including agriculture, transportation, etc. and also can affect natural disasters such as drought, floods, and landslides. This situation prompts us to build an accurate rainfall prediction model so that prescriptive measures can be made. Previous research on rainfall prediction uses models that have their limitations and thus produce poor performance. This study aims to build a multivariate rainfall prediction model using the best performing technique to date namely the Extreme Gradient Boosting. This model is built based on 7 years of historical weather data collected by the weather station. The result had demonstrated that the model is capable of producing accurate predictions for daily rainfall estimates with training RMSE of 2.7 mm and the testing MAE of 8.8 mm.
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1. Introduction

Rainfall greatly affects human life in various sectors including agriculture, transportation, etc. and also can affect natural disasters such as drought, floods, and landslides. Thus, rainfall prediction models are needed to assist decision making and management in these various needs. Research on rainfall prediction can use models based on univariate time-series analysis such as Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) [1] and Exponential Smoothing [2]. Univariate time-series models can be useful if the factors affecting the objective variable are not well understood. Research [3] has shown that the two main factors that influence rain are minimum temperature and average relative humidity. Time series models can also be performed using multivariate analysis, for example by using Vector Auto-Regression (VAR) [4,5]. However, VAR is built based on the assumption of a linear relationship between the determinant attributes and the objective attributes, whereas research [3] found that the relationship between the variable affecting rainfall and rainfall itself is non-linear. Based on these findings, this study tries to build a predictive model to estimate the amount of rainfall with a multivariate approach with a non-linear relationship. One of the Data Mining methods that can handle these needs and has the best performance today is Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost). Meanwhile, the latest research using the Machine Learning (ML) approach was conducted using several methods such as Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Particle Swarm Optimization - Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (PSO-ANFIS) [6]. It should be noted that apart from estimates based on Earth-based data, rainfall estimates can also use remote-sensing data [7].

1. Materials and Methods

Daily weather data were obtained from the Indonesian Meteorology, Climatology, and Geophysics Agency (BMKG) for Tanjung Mas, Semarang City, Indonesia with 11 attributes. Of the 11 attributes, only 8 attributes are used as shown in Table 1 with the attributes RR (rainfall) being the value to be predicted by the model. The training dataset consists of daily weather data from 2013 to 2019, whereas the testing data is the daily weather data in 2020. In the training phase, entries with missing values are omitted. Experiments were carried out using RStudio version 1.2.5001, R version 3.6.1, and xgboost package version 1.1.1.1.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 1.** The attributes of the weather data | | |
| **Attribute** | **Data type** | **Description** |
| Tn | Numeric | Minimum temperature |
| Tx | Numeric | Maximum temperature |
| Tavg | Numeric | Average temperature |
| RH\_avg | Numeric | Average Humidity (%) |
| ss | Numeric | Sun exposure time (hours) |
| ff\_x | Numeric | Maximum wind speed (m/s) |
| ff\_avg | Numeric | Average wind speed (m/s) |
| RR | Numeric | Rainfall (mm) |

## Extreme Gradient Boosting

Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost) is an ensemble learning method. Sometimes, relying on the results of a single machine learning model such as J48 may not be enough. Although J48 is good enough and had been used in several problems such as wildfire modeling [8] and rain modeling [3]. Ensemble learning combines multiple learners to get a more powerful prediction. In this case, XGBoost uses boosting. Multiple trees are created sequentially in a way that each one of the next trees tries to reduce the errors from the previous tree.

XGBoost was first released in 2014 and had been implemented in Python, R [9] packages, etc. XGBoost is very popular and wins numerous Kaggle competitions. Currently, XGBoost has been used for various purposes such as prediction of crude oil prices [10], diagnosis of chronic kidney disease [11], prediction of accidents [12], prediction of employees changing jobs [13], prediction of material particulates in the atmosphere [14], and intrusion detection [15]. However, until now there has been no research using XGBoost for rainfall prediction. So this research is the first research to use XGBoost for rainfall prediction. XGBoost itself can handle both classification and regression tasks. Although XGBoost has a good performance, it has a drawback that is the possibility of overfitting. This can be handled by experimenting with modeling parameters.

1. Results and discussion

## Training

Figure 1 shows the training and the test RMSE for round 1 to 100. As the iterations go, training RMSE gets better but test RMSE gets the best RMSE at about round 5. Beyond this best RMSE, the test error started to rise again. This phenomenon indicates the overfitting tendency of XGBoost as the number of rounds increases.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| **Figure 1.** Training and Test RMSE during the iteration | |

Table 1 shows that regarding the training error, 10-fold cross-validation produced a slightly lower error than when using the full training dataset which may indicate that the model is prone to overfitting. When the non-rainy data were excluded, the error is higher. This higher error might be caused by the loss of information about the characteristic of non-rainy days. Thus reducing the model’s ability to accurately predict the amount of rainfall.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 1.** Training RMSE using nrounds = 100, nfold = 10, eta = 0.3, max\_depth = 6 | | | | |
| **Round** | **Training RMSE** | | | |
| **Non-rainy data included** | | **Non-rainy data excluded** | |
| **10-fold CV** | **Full training set** | **10-fold CV** | **Full training set** |
| 1 | 13.22+0.25 | 13.30 | 22.36+0.39 | 20.46 |
| 50 | 4.41+0.14 | 4.75 | 9.62+0.27 | 12.93 |
| 100 | 2.46+0.11 | 2.75 | 6.92+0.25 | 10.85 |

XGBoost is capable of ranking the important attributes that contribute to the model. The ranking of the attributes is shown in Figure 3. It shows that the rainfall prediction model is primarily affected by the average relative humidity (RH\_avg) and the minimum temperature (Tn). This result agrees with previous research [3] which used the C4.5 model to predict if a day is rainy or not.

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| **Figure 3.** The ranking of attributes importance |

## Testing

When tested against the weather data in 2020, the model gave an MAE of 8.8. Figure 4 shows the scatter plot of the predicted RR against the actual RR on the test dataset. It shows that many of the data are concentrated on near 0 value which is very challenging for the model. The blue line is the linear trend-line with a correlation R of 0.555. This result is lower than recent research [16] which uses Nonlinear Autoregressive Neural Network and has R = 0.9. This call future research to explore the parameter setting (tuning) of XGBoost to improve its prediction ability. Further research can also combine Earth-based data with remote-sensing data to create a more accurate model.

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| **Figure 4.** Scatter plot showing the predicted RR against the actual RR on the test dataset |

1. Conclusion

This study proposes a non-linear multivariate rainfall prediction model with XGBoost. This model is built based on 7 years of historical weather data collected by the weather station. The result had demonstrated that the model is capable of producing accurate predictions for daily rainfall estimates with training RMSE of 2.7 mm and the testing MAE of 8.8 mm. The results also show that the factors that most influence rainfall are the average humidity and the minimum temperature. Future research needs to explore the parameter tuning for XGBoost both to increase the accuracy and reduce overfitting, investigate how zero values affect the model accuracy, and add remote-sensing data to enrich the model attributes.
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